

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/099,646	03/15/2002	Kenneth C. Waterman	PC11851AAKM	2293	
75	90 12/23/2004		EXAM	INER	
Gregg C. Benson			CROSS, LATOYA I		
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Eastern Point Road			1743		
Groton, CT 06340			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/099,646	WATERMAN, KENN	NETH C.				
The the transfer of the transf	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LaToya I. Cross	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:	•						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,8-18,20 and 21</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the rejection over Green e al in view of Katsumoto et al is derived from hindsight and there exists no motivation for the combination of references. In response, the Examiner disagrees. Green et al disclose a blister package similar to that claimed and differing only in that the reference does not teach UV-activated oxygen absorbers. Katsumoto et al teach that UV-activated oxygen absorbers can be used in packaging products that are sensitive to oxygen, such as food and pharmaceuticals. Thus there is motivation to incorporate the UV activated oxygen absorbers of Katsumoto et al into the blister packs of Green et al. Such would provide the product with improved shelf-life. The same response holds true for the rejection of Hekal in view of Katsumoto et al..

Supervisory Patent Examiner